UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

<i>In re</i> Flint Water Cases 5:16-cv-10444/17-cv-10164		Hon. Judith E. Levy United States District Judge
	/	
This Motion Relates to:		
Bellwether III Cases	/	

BELLWETHER III PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF BRIEFS AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS IN OPPOSITION TO VEOLIA'S MOTIONS TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN OPINIONS OF DR. WILLIAM BITHONEY AND DR. JENNIFER SAMPLE

Bellwether III Plaintiffs move this Court, under E.D. Mich. Local Rule 5.3(b) and this Court's Confidentiality Order, for an order to seal portions of the Plaintiffs' Responses in Opposition to the Defendants Veolia North America, LLC, Veolia North America, Inc., and Veolia Water North America Operating Services, LLC's ("Veolia's") Motions to Exclude Certain Opinions of Dr. William Bithoney and Jennifer Sample, and to provisionally seal in their entirety certain exhibits attached to Plaintiffs' Response to the Defendants Motions. Plaintiffs ask this Court to seal these items that were designated by Plaintiffs as either "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" under this Court's Confidentiality Order including the identifying

information of minor children and information pertaining to their medical conditions. The specific items that Plaintiffs request to seal are further described in the brief supporting this motion.

Plaintiffs will file the unredacted versions of these documents under seal in connection with this motion, and the proposed redacted documents as attachments to their corresponding responses in opposition. As Local Rule 7.1(a) requires, Plaintiffs conferred with counsel for Veolia regarding this motion. They take no position on the requested relief.

Dated: May 21, 2024

LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP

/s/Corey M. Stern
Corey M. Stern
Melanie Daly
605 Third Ave., 33rd Floor
New York, New York 10158
(212) 605-6298
cstern@levylaw.com

Respectfully submitted,

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC

/s/ Hunter J. Shkolnik
Hunter J. Shkolnik
Patrick Lanciotti
360 Lexington Ave., 11th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 397-1000
hunter@nsprlaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

<i>In re</i> Flint Water Cases 5:16-cv-10444/17-cv-10164		Hon. Judith E. Levy United States District Judge
	/	
This Motion Relates to:		
Bellwether III Cases	/	

BELLWETHER III PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SEAL PORTIONS OF BRIEFS AND ACCOMPANYING EXHIBITS IN OPPOSITION TO VEOLIA'S MOTIONS TO EXCLUDE CERTAIN OPINIONS OF DR. WILLIAM BITHONEY AND DR. JENNIFER SAMPLE

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs respectfully move this Court for an order to seal from the docket certain information contained in the Plaintiffs' Briefs and accompanying exhibits in Response in Opposition to Veolia's Motions to Exclude Certain Opinions of Dr. William Bithoney and Dr. Jennifer Sample ("Veolia's Motions") and to provisionally seal in their entirety certain exhibits attached to those responses. Plaintiffs file this motion to advance the purposes of this Court's Confidentiality Order and to afford Plaintiffs the chance to protect private information to the extent consistent with the Sixth Circuit's mandate in *Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*, 825 F.3d 299, 305 (6th Cir. 2016).

BACKGROUND

Veolia filed several *Daubert* motions challenging the admissibility of testimony from the Plaintiffs' experts Dr. Bithoney and Dr. Sample. Plaintiffs oppose Veolia's motions. As described in the chart below, Plaintiffs' briefs in opposition to Veolia's motions and exhibits supporting those oppositions contain information that is confidential because it includes minor Plaintiffs' names and other identifying information as well as confidential medical and other personal information of the minor plaintiffs. The Court agreed to seal similar information during prior proceedings in this case. *See* ECF No. 2917 (Order Granting Motion to Seal Related to Veolia Motion to Exclude Dr. Bithoney and Dr. Sample).

LEGAL STANDARD

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2(d) provides that any filing can "be made under seal without redactions" at the court's order. Fed. R. Civ. P. 5.2(d); L.R. 5.3(b), see In re Knoxville News-Sentinel Co., 723 F.2d 470, 474 (6th Cir. 1983); There is a strong presumption that records filed with the court must be open. See Shane Group, Inc., 825 F.3d at 300. This presumption can be overcome, and motions requesting the seals have been granted where a party can show "[a] compelling reason why certain documents... should be sealed, and the seal itself must be narrowly tailored to serve this" Id. at 305.

"[T]rial courts have always been afforded the power to seal their records" under certain circumstances. *In re Knoxville News-Sentinel Co.*, 723 F.2d at 474; *see Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (holding that the court maintains "supervisory power over its own records and files" and may issue orders sealing judicial records or court filings when appropriate). The moving party may satisfy its burden on a motion to seal filings by "showing that 'disclosure will work a clearly defined and serious injury." *Shane Group, Inc*, 825 F.3d. at 307. The party moving to seal must "analyze in detail, document by document, the propriety of secrecy, providing reasons and legal citations." *Baxter Int'l., Inc. v. Abbott Laboratories*, 297 F.3d 544, 548 (6th Cir. 2002). E.D. Mich. Local Rule 5.3(b)(3)(A)

outlines the specific steps that a party must take to make the required showing in this district.

ARGUMENT

Plaintiffs seek to file the following documents under seal:

Document Description	Pages with	Confidential Nature
	Redactions	(including any third-party or non-party interests)
Plaintiffs' Brief in	6, 7, 10	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other
Opposition to Veolia's Motion to		personal identifying information
Exclude Dr. Bithoney		
Plaintiffs' Ex. B to Plaintiffs' Brief in	Entire	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other
Opposition to Veolia's Motion to	Document	personal identifying information – full
Exclude Dr. Bithoney:		names, school addresses, specific
Did Day A to 1 D		diagnoses.
Bithoney BW3 Initial Reports		
Plaintiffs' Ex. C to Plaintiffs' Brief in		Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other
Opposition to Veolia's Motion to	Entire	personal identifying information – full
Exclude Dr. Bithoney:	Document	names, school addresses, specific
		diagnoses.
Bithoney BW3 Rebuttal Report		
Plaintiffs' Ex. D to Plaintiffs' Brief in	Entire	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other
Opposition to Veolia's Motion to	Document	personal identifying information – full
Exclude Dr. Bithoney:		names, school addresses, specific
		diagnoses.
Highlighted Initial Report of C.D. &		
Highlighted Bithoney Rebuttal		
Report		
Plaintiffs' Ex. E to Plaintiffs' Brief in		Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other
Opposition to Veolia's Motion to	Entire	personal identifying information – full
Exclude Dr. Bithoney:	Document	names, addresses, and specific
		diagnoses.

Bithoney BW3 Supplemental Report		
Plaintiffs' Ex. F to Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Veolia's Motion to Exclude Dr. Bithoney:	Entire Document	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other personal identifying information – full names, specific diagnoses.
Experts of Bithoney BW3 Initial Deposition		
Plaintiffs' Ex. G to Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Veolia's Motion to Exclude Dr. Bithoney:	Entire Document	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other personal identifying information – full names, specific diagnoses.
Experts of Bithoney BW3 Rebuttal Deposition		
Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Veolia's Motion to Exclude Dr. Sample	7, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23,	Minor Plaintiffs' medical information and other personal identifying information
Plaintiffs' Exhibit B to Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Veolia's Motion to Exclude Dr. Sample:	Entire Document	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other personal information
Excerpts Dr. Jennifer Sample March 20, 2024 Deposition		
Plaintiffs' Exhibit C to Plaintiffs' Brief in Opposition to Veolia's Motion to Exclude Dr. Sample:	Entire Document	Minor Plaintiffs' medical and other personal information
Dr. Sample Rebuttal Report		

An analysis of applicable law supports the granting of Plaintiffs' motion to seal. Motions to seal have been granted to protect "the privacy interests of

participants or third parties." *Hardesty v. Kroger Co.*, No. 16-cv-298, 2020 WL 3545676, at *1 (S.D. Ohio June 30, 2020) (citing *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. F.T.C.*, 710 F.2d 1165, 1179 (6th Cir. 1983)). This includes documents that "refer [] to sensitive medical or personal identifying information." *Russell v. United States RRB*, No. 19-3800, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 7524, at *2 (6th Cir. Mar. 10, 2020).

Additionally, the Sixth Circuit has "historically sealed medical records not previously disclosed to the public" *United States v. Jefferson*, No. 23-3509, 2023 U.S. App. LEXIS 32703, at *4 (6th Cir. Dec. 11, 2023). *See, United States v. Jankowski*, No. 23-1404, 2024 U.S. App. LEXIS 6677, at *2 (6th Cir. Mar. 20, 2024); *United States v. Griffiths*, No. 19-4276, 2020 U.S. App. LEXIS 36108, at *4 (6th Cir. Nov. 17, 2020); *Hence v. Berghuis*, No. 14-2551, 2016 U.S. App. LEXIS 24236, at *17 (6th Cir. Feb. 5, 2016).

Some documents (like the expert reports of Dr. Bithoney and Dr. Sample and their rebuttal reports), should be sealed in their entirety because they overwhelmingly contain minors' identifying information and medical information. However, other documents, like the responding briefs, can be filed unsealed but with redactions. Although these documents contain minors' identifying information and/or medical information, they also contain substantial amounts of general information that is not confidential.

Plaintiffs are seeking to seal only that information that Plaintiffs have previously insisted should be sealed or that the Court has otherwise said should be sealed. The information consists of contact information, minor children's names, minor children's dates of birth, names of specific doctors, specific medical prescriptions, medical conditions and diagnoses, and other private information such as addresses. These items were designated as "Confidential" or "Highly Confidential" by Plaintiffs under the existing Confidentiality Order. *See* E.D. Mich. L.R. 5.3(b)(3)(A)(iii).

Plaintiffs are not aware of significant non-party or third-party interests that these documents would implicate, but this motion will also afford any of those persons the chance to come forward and argue their own interests, too. Sealing these items should not impair the public's ability to meaningfully evaluate the substance of Plaintiffs' or Veolia's arguments on the merits of this case.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, Plaintiffs ask this Court to grant the motion to seal and permit Plaintiffs to redact or seal the items listed herein.

Dated: May 21, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP

/s/Corey M. Stern
Corey M. Stern
Melanie Daly
605 Third Ave., 33rd Floor
New York, New York 10158
(212) 605-6298
cstern@levylaw.com

NAPOLI SHKOLNIK PLLC

/s/ Hunter J. Shkolnik
Hunter J. Shkolnik
Patrick Lanciotti
360 Lexington Ave., 11th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 397-1000
hunter@nsprlaw.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 21, 2024, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court using the ECF System, which will send notification to the ECF counsel of record.

LEVY KONIGSBERG, LLP

/S/ MELANIE DALY
Melanie Daly